Time-Space Optimal String Matching Zvi Galil and Joel Seiferas, 1982

David Robillard

School of Computer Science Carleton University

March 21, 2013

590

P	ro	h	lem	
		~		

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

The String Matching Problem

Find all full instances of a given "pattern" word x in a "text" string y.

Pattern:	а	b	d						
Text:	а	b	а	b	d	а	а	b	d
Matches:			\uparrow				\uparrow		

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Problem	Previous Approaches ●○○ ○	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching 0000 0000000	Conclusions 0000
Naïve Algorithm			

Naïve Algorithm

Search for pattern starting at each index of the text.

а	b	d							
а	b	а	b	d	а	а	b	d	
?	?	Х							
	Х								
		?	?	\checkmark					
			Х						
				Х					
					?	Х			
						?	?	\checkmark	
							Х		
								Х	
	a ?	a b a b ? ? X	a b d a b a ? ? X X ?	a b d a b a b ? ? X X ? ? X X	a b d a b a b d ? ? X X ? ? ✓ X X X	a b d a b a b d a ? ? X X ? ? √ X X X ? ? X ? ?	a b d a b a b d a a ? ? X X ? ? √ X X X X X X ? X ? X ?	a b d a b a b d a a b ? ? X X ? ? √ X X X X X X X X X X X X X	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

David Robillard

School of Computer Science Carleton University

E • 9 Q (?

《口》 《卽》 《臣》 《臣》

Problem	Previous Approaches	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching	Conclusions
	000	0000	0000
Noïve Algorithm			

Time Complexity

Searching at every index takes time $O(|x| \cdot |y|)$ in the worst case.

Pattern:	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	b
Text:	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	z
Search 0:	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	Х
Search 1:		?	?	?	?	?	?	Х
Search 2:			?	?	?	?	?	Х
Search 3:				?	?	?	?	Х
Search 4:					?	?	?	Х
Search 5:						?	?	Х
Search 6:							?	Х

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

-				

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

Naïve Algorithm

Improving the Naïve Algorithm

- ► Can we do better?
- ▶ Yes, because when checking the *m*th pattern character:
 - We know the previous m text characters match
 - ► Therefore, there is no need to check them again on failure

<ロ> < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < で</p>

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Problem	Previous Approaches	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching
	000 ●	0000 0000000
Knuth-Morris-Pra	++	

The Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm

- Several methods of eliminating these redundant checks have been proposed
- Most well-known is the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm (KMP)
 - Uses a precomputed O(|x|) table
 - Table is used to decide how much to backtrack on a failed match
- Other approaches:
 - $O(\lg(|x|))$ storage
 - Reusing pattern for storage
 - Constant space, but with catches (random numbers, restrictions, possible error, etc.)

David Robillard

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

The result of this paper[1] is an algorithm with:

- ► Linear time
- Small constant storage requirements
- Minimal requirements: can be implemented on a six-head two-way finite automaton

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Preliminaries

Previous Approaches

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

Periods

Definition (Period)

String z is a period of string w if w is a prefix of $z^m = zzz \dots$ Equivalently, z is a period of w if and only if w is a prefix of zw.

Definition (Basic string)

String z is basic if it is not of the form z'^i for any integer i > 1.

Definition (Prefix period)

String z is a prefix period of w if it is basic and z^k is a prefix of w.

(4日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Р				

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

Preliminaries

Another View of Periods

For example, s = "abracadabra" has two periods, of length 7 and 10, because for i, s[i] = s[i + 7] = s[i + 10].

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Problem	Previous Approaches 000 0	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching	Conclusions 0000
Preliminaries			

Reach

Definition (Reach)

 $reach_w(p) = \max \{q \le |w| : [0, p]_w \text{ is a period of } [0, q]_w\}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

-				

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching ○○○● ○○○○○○○ Conclusions 0000

Preliminaries

Periodicity

Periodicity Lemma

If a string of length $p_1 + p_2$ has periods of lengths p_1 and p_2 , then it has a period of length $gcd(p_1, p_2)$. [3]

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Problem	Previous Approaches 000 0	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching	Conclusions 0000
Searching			

Searching

Several earlier algorithms follow the same general scheme, to scan the text while maintaining:

- *p* Position in text (increasing, ≥ 0)
- q Length of pattern prefix known to match starting at $p \ (\geq 0)$
 - If q reaches |x|, then a match has been found.
 - Update (p,q) to (p',q') and continue the search.
 - ► The problem of an efficient algorithm is to compute the ideal (p', q') efficiently.

= nar

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Problem	Previous Approaches 000 0	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching 0000 0●00000	Conclusio 0000
Searching			

Shift

Earlier work by the authors[2] computed (p',q') as

$$(p',q') = egin{cases} (p+ ext{shift}_x(q),q- ext{shift}_x(q)) & ext{if shift}_x(q) \leq rac{q}{k} \ (p+ ext{max}(1,\lceilrac{q}{k}
ceil),0) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some fixed integer k. Note that:

- ► The first case is unlikely if *k* is large
- Only the first case uses the shift function
- ▶ It would be nice if we could eliminate that case entirely...

School of Computer Science Carleton University

3

DQ P

David Robillard

_				
	r			m

= nar

Searching

Occurrence of $shift_x(q) \leq \frac{q}{k}$

Lemma (1)

If $\text{shift}_x(q) \leq \frac{q}{k}$, then $[0, \text{shift}_x(q)]_x$ is a prefix period of x.

Lemma (2)

If $[0, shift]_x$ is a prefix period of x, then shift = shift_x(q) $\leq \frac{q}{k} \Leftrightarrow k \cdot \text{shift} \leq q \leq \text{reach}_x(\text{shift})$.

Theorem (Decomposition)

Each pattern x has a parse x = uv such that v has at most one prefix period and $|u| = O(shift_v(|v|))$.

School of Computer Science Carleton University

イロト イヨト イヨト

David Robillard

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

Searching

Efficient Searching

Given a decomposition x = uv, the algorithm searches the text for the suffix v. There are two cases:

- 1. v has no prefix period, and Lem. 1 guarantees the first case $(shift_x(q) \le \frac{q}{k})$ never occurs.
- 2. v has one prefix period of length p_1 , and Lem. 1 and Lem. 2 guarantee that the first case occurs only for $kp_q \leq q \leq \operatorname{reach}_v(p_1)$.

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

Problem	Previous Approaches 000 0	Time-Space-Optimal String Matching 0000 0000●00	Conclusior 0000
Searching			

Suffix Search

So, when searching for the suffix v, we have

$$(p',q') = egin{cases} (p+p_1,q-p_1) & ext{if } kp_q \leq q \leq ext{reach}_v(p_1) \ (p+max(1,\lceil rac{q}{k}
ceil),0) & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with the desired property that a general shift function is not required.

This takes time O(|v| + |y|), since (k + 1)p + q increases in O steps.

School of Computer Science Carleton University

3

Sac

David Robillard

2			m

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

Searching

Pattern Search

The algorithm naïvely checks if *u* precedes every found suffix *v*. Since *v* can occur at most $\frac{|y|}{\text{shift}_v(v)}$ times, the total time will be $O(|u|)\frac{|y|}{\text{shift}_v(v)}$.

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

D				
Р				

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions 0000

Searching

Finding a Decomposition

- Given a decomposition x = uv, we can search quickly
- Such a decomposition can be found in linear time
- Details ommitted here, but basic idea is to match x with itself

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

D				
	r			

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions •000

Performance

Performance: |x| = 16



Time-Space Optimal String Matching

David Robillard

Problem

Previous Approaches

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions

Performance

Performance: $|x| = \Theta(\lg |y|)$



Time-Space Optimal String Matching

David Robillard

-			
	ro	b	m
		0	

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions

Performance

Limitations and Questions

- Main limitation is that this is not a "real-time" algorithm (must go backwards in text)
- ► How much time/space is required for a forward-only algorithm?
- ► How few heads are required?

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard

-				
	~			

Time-Space-Optimal String Matching

Conclusions

Performance

References

 GALIL, Z., AND SEIFERAS, J.
 Time-space-optimal string matching. Journal of Computer and System Sciences 26, 3 (1983), 280–294.

 GALIL, Z., AND SEIFERAS, J.
 Saving space in fast string-matching.
 In Foundations of Computer Science, 1977., 18th Annual Symposium on (31 1977-Nov. 2), pp. 179–188.



KNUTH, D. E., MORRIS JR, J. H., AND PRATT, V. R. Fast pattern matching in strings. SIAM journal on computing 6, 2 (1977), 323–350.

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

School of Computer Science Carleton University

David Robillard